Preservation Macroscopic Entanglement of Optomechanical Systems in non-Markovian Environment

Jiong Cheng,[1] Wen-Zhao Zhang,[1] Ling Zhou,[1,*] and Weiping Zhang[2]

[1]*School of Physics and Optoelectronic Technology, Dalian University of Technology, Dalian 116024, People's Republic of China*

[2]*State Key Laboratory of Precision Spectroscopy, Department of Physics, East China Normal University, Shanghai 200062, People's Republic of China*

(Dated: November 5, 2015)

We investigate dynamics of an optomechanical system under the Non-Markovian environment. In the weak optomechanical single-photon coupling regime, we provide an analytical approach fully taking into account the non-Markovian memory effects. When the cavity-bath coupling strength crosses a certain threshold, an oscillating memory state for the classical cavity field (called bound state) is formed. Due to the existence of the non-decay optical bound state, a nonequilibrium optomechanical thermal entanglement is preserved even without external driving laser. Our results provide a potential usage to generate and protect entanglement via Non-Markovian environment engineering.

arXiv:1511.01267v1 [quant-ph] 4 Nov 2015

Introduction.-The investigation of decoherence and dissipation process induced by environment is a fundamental issue in quantum physics [1–4]. Understanding the dynamics of such nonequilibrium open quantum system is a challenge topic which provides us the insight into the issue of quantum-classical transitions. Protecting the quantum property from decoherence is a key problem in quantum information science, therefore a lot of effort has been devoted in developing methods for isolating systems from their destructive environment. Recently, people recognize that properly engineering quantum noise can counteract decoherence and can even be used in robust quantum state generation [5, 6]. Meanwhile the features of the non-Markovian quantum process have sparked a great interest in both theoretical and experimental studies [7–11]. Numerous quantitative measures have been proposed to quantify non-Markovianity [12–16].

As a promising candidate for the exploration of quantum mechanical features at mesoscopic and even macroscopic scales and for quantum information procession, cavity optomechanical systems come as a well-developed tool and have received a lot of attentions [17–21]. In the theoretical research of the cavity optomechanical system, the environment is often treated as a collective non-interacting harmonic oscillators, and the quantum Langevin equations [22] are developed to describe the radiation-pressure dynamic backaction phenomena. Significant progresses have been made in this framework [17, 23–25]. Almost all of these studies are focussing on the scenario of memoryless environment. However in many situations for optical microcavity system, the backaction of the environment and the memory effect of the bath play a significant role in the decoherence dynamics [26, 27]. Quite recently, a nonorthodox decoherence phenomenon of the mechanical resonator is also observed in experiment [28], which clearly reveals the non-Markovian nature of the dynamics. Therefore, it is the time to investigate the non-Markovian environment engineering for the nonlinear cavity optomechanical system so that we can use the memory effects to produce and protect coherence within it.

In this Letter, we investigate the cavity optomechanical dynamics under Non-Markovian environment and put forward a method to solve the exact Heisenberg-Langevin equations where the non-local time-correlation of the environment is included. We find that when the cavity-bath coupling strength crosses a certain threshold, the optical bound state is formed, giving rise to the nonequilibrium dynamics of the entanglement. This remarkable result indicates the possibility of long-time protection of macroscopic entanglement via structured reservoirs.

Model.-We consider a generic cavity optomechanical system consisting of a Fabry-Pérot cavity with a movable mirror at one side. The cavity has equilibrium length L, while the movable mirror has effective mass m. As shown in Fig. 1, cavity environment could be a coupled-resonator optical waveguide which possesses strong non-Markovian effects [29], and the micro-mechanical resonator and its environment could be the device of a high-reflectivity Bragg mirror fixed in the centre of a doubly clamped Si_3N_4 beam in vacuum [28]. The corresponding Hamiltonian of the system can be written as [22, 23] $\hat{H}_S = \hbar\omega_c\hat{a}^\dagger\hat{a} + \frac{\hbar\omega_m}{2}(\hat{p}^2+\hat{q}^2) - \hbar g_0\hat{a}^\dagger\hat{a}\hat{q} + i\hbar E(e^{-i\omega_0 t}\hat{a}^\dagger - e^{i\omega_0 t}\hat{a})$. Here ω_c is the frequency of the cavity mode with bosonic operators $\hat{a}$ and $\hat{a}^\dagger$ satisfying $[\hat{a},\hat{a}^\dagger]=1$, while the quadratures $\hat{q}$ and $\hat{p}$ ($[\hat{q},\hat{p}]=i$) are associated to the mechanical mode with frequency ω_m. The third term describes the optomechanical interaction at the single-photon level with coupling coefficient $g_0 = (\omega_c/L)\sqrt{\hbar/2m\omega_m}$. The cavity is driven by an external laser with the center frequency ω_0. The environment of such system can be described by a collection of independent harmonic oscillators [30]. The reservoir as well as the system-reservoir interaction is then given by $\hat{H}_{EI} = \sum_k \hbar(\omega_k\hat{a}_k^\dagger\hat{a}_k + \hbar g_k\hat{a}^\dagger\hat{a}_k + \hbar g_k^*\hat{a}_k^\dagger\hat{a}) + \sum_l \frac{\hbar\omega_l}{2}[\hat{q}_l^2 + (\hat{p}_l - \gamma_l\hat{q})^2]$. The first term is the free energy of the cav-



FIG. 1: (Color online) Example implementations of optomechanical system setup for study the non-Markovian dynamics: a laser is split into a signal beam and a local oscillator. The signal (input field) is controlled by the *Switch* and the output field can be read through photon detectors. The optomechanical cavity environment is introduced by a low-loss fiber. The optomechanical cavity is kept at a pressure of $< 10^{-3}$ mbar to avoid residual-gas damping of the mechanical motion.

ity reservoir with the continuous frequency ω_k as well as the hopping interaction between the cavity and the environment with the coupling strength g_k. The second summation describes a mirror undergoing Brownian motion with the coupling through the reservoir momentum [22, 30]. Here ω_l is the reservoir energy of the mechanical mode, and γ_l stands for the mirror-reservoir coupling.

Dynamics of the system.-To achieve a comprehensive understanding of the decoherence dynamics, one has to rely on precise model calculations. To this end, by making use of the reference frame rotating at the laser frequency and tracing out all the environmental degrees of freedom, we can obtain the Heisenberg-Langevin equations (see Sec. I in the Supplemental Material)

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{\hat{a}} &= -i\Delta_c\hat{a} + ig_0\hat{a}\hat{q} - \int_0^t d\tau f_c(t-\tau)\hat{a}(\tau) \\
&\quad -i\sum_k g_k e^{-i\Delta_k t}\hat{a}_k(0) + E, \qquad (1a)\\
\dot{\hat{q}} &= \omega_m\hat{p}, \qquad (1b)\\
\dot{\hat{p}} &= -\Delta_m\hat{q} + g_0\hat{a}^\dagger\hat{a} + \int_0^t d\tau f_m(t-\tau)\hat{q}(\tau) \\
&\quad + \sum_l \omega_l\gamma_l(\hat{p}_l(0)\cos\omega_l t - \hat{q}_l(0)\sin\omega_l t), \qquad (1c)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\Delta_c = \omega_c - \omega_0$ is the cavity detuning, $\Delta_k = \omega_k - \omega_0$ is the detuning of the k-th mode of the environment, and $\Delta_m = \omega_m + \sum_l \omega_l\gamma_l^2$ is the reservoir-induced potential energy shift. The non-Markovian effect is fully manifested in Eqs.(1), where the non-local time correlation functions of the environments, i.e., $f_c(t) = \sum_k g_k^* g_k e^{-i\Delta_k t}$ and $f_m(t) = \sum_l \omega_l^2\gamma_l^2 \sin\omega_l t$, are included. By introducing the spectral density $J(\omega)$ of the reservoirs, one can rewrite the time correlation functions as $f_c(t) = \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} J_c(\omega)e^{-i(\omega-\omega_0)t}$ and $f_m(t) = \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} J_m(\omega)\sin\omega t$. The terms containing reservoir operators $\hat{q}_l(0)$, $\hat{p}_l(0)$ and $\hat{a}_k(0)$ are usually regarded as the noise-input of the system, which depend on the initial states of the reservoirs.

The integro-differential Heisenberg-Langevin equations Eqs.(1) are intrinsically nonlinear. Up to now, most experimental realizations of cavity optomechanics are still in the single-photon weak coupling limit [19, 31–33], i.e., $10^{-5} \lesssim g_0/\omega_m \lesssim 10^{-3}$. When the intracavity photon number $|\langle\hat{a}\rangle| \gg 1$, we can apply the so-called linearization method [23, 34]. This means the relevant quantum operators can be expanded about their respective mean values: $\hat{O} = \langle\hat{O}\rangle + \delta\hat{O}$, where $\hat{O} \equiv (\hat{a}, \hat{a}^\dagger, \hat{q}, \hat{p})^T$, and the superscript T represents the transpose operation. Then Eqs.(1) can be decomposed into two parts. The first is the classical part that describing the classical phase space orbits of the first moments of operators

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{\alpha} &= -i\Delta_c\alpha + ig_0\alpha q - \int_0^t d\tau f_c(t-\tau)\alpha(\tau) + E, \qquad (2)\\
\ddot{q} &= -\omega_m\Delta_m q + g_0\omega_m|\alpha|^2 + \omega_m\int_0^t d\tau f_m(t-\tau)q(\tau),
\end{aligned}$$

where, for simplicity, we have assumed $\langle\hat{q}_l(0)\rangle = \langle\,\hat{p}_l(0)\rangle = \langle\hat{a}_k(0)\rangle = 0$. In the single-photon weakly coupling regime, the coupling strength g_0 is the smallest parameter in Eqs.(2). We therefore perform the regular perturbation expansion in ascending powers of the rescaled dimensionless variable g_0/ω_m (for computational convenience one may set $\omega_m = 1$, and the other rescaled parameters are in units of ω_m). By substituting the expressions with rescaled g_0 (i.e., $\alpha = \sum_{n=0}^\infty g_0^n\alpha_n$ and $q = \sum_{n=0}^\infty g_0^n q_n$) into the averaged Langevin equations (2), one can give a formal solution up to the first order for the classical part in the framework of modified Laplace transformation [35]

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_0(t) &= \alpha(0)\bar{\alpha}_0(t) + E\int_0^t d\tau\bar{\alpha}_0(\tau), \qquad (3a)\\
q_0(t) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{d\omega}{2\pi}\frac{[i\omega q(0) - p(0)]e^{-i\omega t}}{\omega^2 - \Delta_m - K_m(\omega) - i\tilde{J}(\omega)}, \qquad (3b)\\
\alpha_1(t) &= i\int_0^t d\tau\bar{\alpha}_0(t-\tau)\alpha_0(\tau)q_0(\tau), \qquad (3c)\\
q_1(t) &= \int_0^t d\tau\bar{q}_0(t-\tau)|\alpha_0(\tau)|^2 \qquad (3d)
\end{aligned}$$

where $K_m(\omega) = \mathcal{P}\int\frac{d\omega'}{2\pi}\frac{\omega' J_m(\omega')}{\omega^2-\omega'^2}$ and $\tilde{J}(\omega) = \frac{J_m(-\omega)-J_m(\omega)}{4}$ are the real and imaginary part of the Laplace transform of the self-energy correction respectively, and the Green's functions $\bar{\alpha}_0$ and $\bar{q}_0$ obey the Dyson equations (S6) and (S7) with the initial conditions $\bar{\alpha}_0(0) = 1$, $\bar{q}_0(0) = 0$ and $\dot{\bar{q}}_0(0) = 1$ [35]. Base on Eqs. (3), we can see that the non-vanishing intracavity field $\alpha_0(\infty)$ may induce an equilibrium position $q_1(\infty)$ for the oscillator. This leads to the effective cavity detuning $\tilde{\Delta} = \Delta_c - g_0^2 q_1(\infty)$, which also alters the asymptotic dynamics of the cavity field. Accordingly, the interplay between the non-Markovian and nonlinear effects can be described more precisely in this way. Within the parameter space of our consideration, $\tilde{\Delta} \approx \Delta_c$, the validity of the

power series assumption is guaranteed by the numerical simulations (see Sec. II in the Supplemental Material).

For general bosonic environments, the spectral density should be a Poisson-type distribution function [36]. We consider that the spectrum is of the form

$$J_a(\omega) = 2\pi\eta_a\omega(\frac{\omega}{\tilde{\omega}_a})^{s_a-1}e^{-\frac{\omega}{\tilde{\omega}_a}} \qquad (a=c,m),$$

where η_a is a dimensionless coupling constant between the system and the environment, and $\tilde{\omega}_a$ is a high-frequency cutoff [2, 36]. The parameter s_a classifies the environment as sub-Ohmic ($0<s_a<1$), Ohmic ($s_a=1$), and super-Ohmic ($s_a>1$). Using the modified Laplace transformation, one can give an analytical solution for the nonequilibrium Green's function

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\alpha}_0(t) &= \mathcal{Z}e^{-i\omega_r t} \\ &+\frac{2}{\pi}\int_{-\omega_0}^{\infty}d\omega\frac{J_c(\omega+\omega_0)e^{-i\omega t}}{4[\omega-\Delta_c-K_c(\omega)]^2+J_c^2(\omega+\omega_0)}\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

with $K_c(\omega) = \mathcal{P}\int\frac{d\omega'}{2\pi}\frac{J_c(\omega')}{\omega-\omega'+\omega_0}$. The first term survives only when $\omega_c+K_c(-\omega_0)\leq 0$, the residue $\mathcal{Z}=[1-K_c'(\omega)]^{-1}$, and the pole ω_r is located at the real z axis. This term corresponds to "localized mode" [35, 37], which means that the cavity field oscillates with frequency ω_r and does not decay. It is seem that the photons are "trapped" in the cavity due to the backflow of the non-Markovian environment and do not diffuse. It is a term that determines the asymptotic dynamics of the optical field. Physically, this is equivalent [37] to generate a bound state of the joint cavity-reservoir system, which can be also determined [38] by solving the energy eigenstates of the total Hamiltonian, and such bound state is actually a stationary state with a vanishing decay rate during the time evolution. The second term corresponds to nonexponential decays. In the long time limit, the bound state as well as the driving laser give rise to the non-vanishing intracavity photon numbers with $\alpha_0(\infty)=\frac{\omega_r\alpha(0)+iE}{\omega_r[1-K_c'(\omega_r)]}e^{-i\omega_r t}-\frac{iE}{\Delta_c+K_c(0)-\frac{i}{2}J_c(\omega_0)}$. On the other hand, for the mechanical mode, however, due to the discontinuity of the self-energy correction at the real z axis, $q_0(\infty)=0$. We find that the corresponding mechanical bound state can be formed only if some sharp cutoff appear in the spectral density (see Supplemental Material Sec. II).

Considering the feasibility, the single photon coupling g_0 (key parameter) is set close to that of recently performed optomechanical experiments [34]. Fig. 2(a) is the density plot of the maximum value of $|\alpha_0|$ in the long-time limit. It maps out the regions in parameter space where localized bound state occurs. As a result, a threshold characterizing the transition from weak to strong non-Markovian regions can be defined, and it is marked by the green-dashed line, which satisfying $\omega_c+K_c(-\omega_0)=0$. In the weakly non-Markovian region $0<\eta_c<0.01$, as shown in the inset of Fig. 2(a), the red-detuned laser



FIG. 2: (Color online) Classical dynamics in an optomechanical system, in units of ω_m. (a) is the density plot of $|\alpha_0|_{max}(\infty)$, in which we show the region in the parameter space of coupling η_c and frequency cutoff $\tilde{\omega}_c$, where significant bound state exist with $E=10\omega_m$ and $\Delta_c=2\omega_m$. Plot (b-e) show the dynamical evolution of the classical variables, where $g_0=6\times10^{-4}\omega_m$, $\eta_m=0.03$, $\tilde{\omega}_m=11\omega_m$ and $E=0$. The other parameters are $\eta_c=0.05$, $s_c=3$, $s_m=1$, $\tilde{\omega}_c=11\omega_c=1100\omega_m$, $\alpha(0)=120$, $p(0)=0$, and we keep $q(0)=\frac{g_0}{\omega_m}|\alpha(0)|^2$.

gives rise to a strong stationary amplitude values, which is determined by $\frac{iE}{\frac{i}{2}J_c(\omega_0)-\Delta_c-K_c(0)}$. Figs. 2(b) and 2(c) show the dynamic evolution of $|\alpha_0|$ and q_0, where the first order solutions are shown in the insets. In Fig. 2(b) the optical bound state is formed when η_c is above the threshold, while for Fig. 2(c), the coordinate average value of the oscillator is no longer zero, which reveals that radiation pressure push the oscillator to a new equilibrium position. The evolution in the phase space is shown in Figs. 2(d) and 2(e). The limit cycles that characterize the properties of the steady state depends on the initial states, which indicates the non-Markovian property and reflects the memory effect. The initial information of the system is maintained.

We now turn to the quantum fluctuation of operators that describes the actual quantum dynamics, which are deduced as

$$\delta\dot{\hat{O}} = M(t)\delta\hat{O} - \int_0^t d\tau F(t-\tau)\delta\hat{O}(\tau)+\hat{\xi}(t). \tag{5}$$

By assuming the formal solution $\delta\hat{O}=\mathcal{U}(t)\delta\hat{O}(0)+\hat{\mathcal{V}}(t)$, and substituting it into Eq. (5), we have

$$\dot{\mathcal{U}}(t) = M(t)\mathcal{U}(t)-\int_0^t d\tau F(t-\tau)\mathcal{U}(\tau), \tag{6a}$$

$$\dot{\hat{\mathcal{V}}}(t) = M(t)\hat{\mathcal{V}}(t)-\int_0^t d\tau F(t-\tau)\hat{\mathcal{V}}(\tau)+\hat{\xi}(t), \tag{6b}$$

subjected to the initial conditions $\mathcal{U}(0)=\mathbf{I}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{V}}(0)=0$. The 4×4 matrix

$$M(t)=\begin{pmatrix}-i\Delta_{cq}(t) & 0 & ig_0\alpha(t) & 0\\ 0 & i\Delta_{cq}(t) & -ig_0\alpha^*(t) & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \omega_m\\ g_0\alpha^*(t) & g_0\alpha(t) & -\Delta_m & 0\end{pmatrix}$$

describes the linearized optomechanical coupling with non-local time-dependent classical variables, where $\Delta_{cq}(t) = \Delta_c - g_0 q(t)$ and $\Delta_m = \omega_m + \eta_m \tilde{\omega}_m \Gamma(s_m)$. The matrix

$$F(t) = \begin{pmatrix} f_c(t) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & f_c^*(t) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -f_m(t) & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

depicts the time correlation of the optomechanical system in the bosonic environments. The last term $\hat{\xi}(t) = (-i\sum_k g_k e^{-i\Delta_k t}\hat{a}_k(0), i\sum_k g_k^* e^{i\Delta_k t}\hat{a}_k^\dagger(0), 0, \sum_l \omega_l \gamma_l [\hat{p}_l(0) \times \cos\omega_l t - \hat{q}_l(0)\sin\omega_l t])^T$ is interpreted as a noise term [22, 23] that depends on the initial states of the environments. It is easy to obtain the quadrature operator $\hat{R} \equiv (\delta\hat{x}_c, \delta\hat{p}_c, \delta\hat{q}, \delta\hat{p})^T$ through the relation $\hat{R} = \mathcal{S}\delta\hat{O}$, where $\mathcal{S}$ is the transformation matrix. Then the covariance matrix with components $V_{ij} = \langle \hat{R}_i\hat{R}_j + \hat{R}_j\hat{R}_i\rangle/2$ can be determined by calculating the time evolution of the second moments of the quadratures

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{R}\hat{R}^T &= \mathcal{S}\mathcal{U}\mathcal{S}^{-1}\hat{R}(0)\hat{R}^T(0){\mathcal{S}^{-1}}^T\mathcal{U}^T\mathcal{S}^T + \mathcal{S}\hat{\mathcal{V}}\hat{\mathcal{V}}^T\mathcal{S}^T \quad (7) \\ &+\mathcal{S}\mathcal{U}\mathcal{S}^{-1}\hat{R}(0)\hat{\mathcal{V}}^T\mathcal{S}^T + \mathcal{S}\hat{\mathcal{V}}\hat{R}^T(0){\mathcal{S}^{-1}}^T\mathcal{U}^T\mathcal{S}^T. \end{aligned}$$

The first term is the projection of the quadratures on the system's Hilbert space. The second term characterizes the magnitudes of the input-noise that satisfies the non-local time correlation relations [22]. The last two terms describe the effect of initial system-reservoir correlations, which had been identified as an important factor in the decoherence dynamics [39, 40]. For the sake of simplicity, here we assume as usual the system and the reservoirs are initially uncorrelated, and the reservoirs are in thermal states. Then the noise vector $\hat{\xi}(t)$ obeys the non-Markovian self-correlation $\langle\hat{\xi}(t)\hat{\xi}(t')\rangle = G_c(t-t') \oplus G_m(t-t')$, where G_c and G_m are 2×2 matrix

$$G_c(\tau) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \tilde{g}_c(\tau) \\ g_c(-\tau) & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad G_m(\tau) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & g_m(\tau) \end{pmatrix}.$$

The thermal correlation functions are defined as $g_c(\tau) = \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} J_c(\omega) \frac{e^{-i(\omega-\omega_0)\tau}}{e^{\beta_c\omega}-1}$, $\tilde{g}_c(\tau) = \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} J_c(\omega) \frac{e^{\beta_c\omega - i(\omega-\omega_0)\tau}}{e^{\beta_c\omega}-1}$ and $g_m(\tau) = \int \frac{d\omega}{4\pi} J_m(\omega)(\frac{2\cos\omega\tau}{e^{\beta_m\omega}-1} + e^{-i\omega\tau})$, where $\beta = 1/k_B T$, k_B is the Boltzmann constant and T is the initial temperature of the reservoir.

Preservation of entanglement To bring quantum effects to the macroscopic level, one important way is the creation of entanglement between the optical mode and the mechanical mode. If the initial states of the system is Gaussian, then Eq. (5) will preserve the Gaussian character. The entanglement can therefore be quantified via the logarithmic negativity [41] defined as $E_{\mathcal{N}} = \max[0, -\ln(2s^-)]$, where s^- is the smallest symplectic eigenvalues of the partially transposed covariance matrix V^{T_A}.



FIG. 3: (Color online) Time evolution of pseudoentanglement E_p in Ohmic environment. In (a) and (b), we keep $s_m = 1$, while in (c) and (d), $s_m = 3$, $\eta_m = 0.8$ and $\tilde{\omega}_m = 5\omega_m$. The other parameters are the same as Fig. 2(b). The dynamical evolution of entanglement are shown in three regions, the initial stage of evolution $\omega_m t < 5\times 10^{-3}$ shown in the insets of (a) and (c) , the short-time scale $\omega_m t < 50$ corresponding to (a) and (c), the long-time scale $\omega_m t$ around 1040 for (b) and (d). The regions $E_p < 0$ correspond to nonphysical results.

The non-Markovian effect generally lead to the nonequilibrium dynamics, therefore, we explore the optomechanical entanglement in transient regime. In order to show clearly the evolutionary path of the entanglement, we use the so-called pseudoentanglement measure [42] defined as $E_p = -\ln(2s^-)$, so that the logarithmic negativity of entanglement measure $E_{\mathcal{N}} = \max[0, E_p]$. For simplicity, we assume the bath of the cavity initially in vacuum and the cavity initially in coherent state with $\alpha(0) = 120$. The results are plotted in Fig. 3, in which $\beta_m = 2.5\times 10^{-2}\omega_m^{-1}$ (the corresponding thermal excitation number are $n_m \approx 40$). In order to show clearly the phenomenon of entanglement preservation by non-Markovianity, we shutoff the classical pumping field all the time by setting $E = 0$. We see in Fig. 3 that the shape of the Ohmic spectrum characterized by s_m, has effect on the entanglement dynamics in the short-time and long-time scale, but has negligible impact on the initial stage of evolution (see the insets). This is because the time scale of the mechanical oscillator as well as its bath is much larger than that of the bath of the cavity, which means the non-Markovian memory effects induced by the bath of the oscillator can be ignored completely when $t \ll 1/d$, where d is the bandwidth of the oscillator's bath. Although the sudden death and rebirth of entanglement is also observed, it differ with the case of Markovian environment [43] where the photons would rapidly dissipate to the memoryless environment. Here, due to the present of the bound state, the entanglement can be produced and can be preserved in non-Markovian environment after long time even without external drive. This provides a way to decoherence control of optome-

chanical systems, in which, a controllable quantum environment indeed have the ability to protect the quantum correlation of the internal system.

Conclusion We have put forward a scheme to preserve the entanglement of optomechanical system in non-Markovian environment. An analytical approach for describing non-Markovian memory effects that impact on the decoherence dynamics of an optomechanical system is presented. The exact Heisenberg-Langevin equations are derived, and the perturbation solution is given in the weak single-photon coupling regime. Employing the analytical solution, we have shown that, the system dynamics change dramatically when the cavity-bath coupling strength crosses a certain threshold, which corresponds to dissipationless non-Markovian dynamics. The interplay between non-Markovian and nonlinear effects can be also explained though the perturbative method. As a quantum device which may subjected to dissipative and decoherence effects, however, our results show that the surroundings of such a simple setting can protect the quantum entanglement, rather than destroy it even in the long-time scales, which means that engineered quantum noise can be used in robust quantum state generation. Our research provides a new approach to explore non-Markovian dynamics for the cavity optomechanical systems.

Acknowledgment We would like to thank B.-L. Hu, P.-Y. Lo, H.-N. Xiong and W.-L. Li for helpful discussions. This work is supported by the NSF of China under Grant No. 11474044.

* zhlhxn@dlut.edu.cn

[1] H.-P. Breuer and F. Petruccione, *The Theory of Open Quantum Systems* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK, 2002).
[2] U. Weiss, *Quantum Dissipative Systems*, 3rd ed. (World Scientific Press, Singapore, 2008).
[3] D. P. DiVincenzo, Nature 393, 113 (1998).
[4] E. Knill, R. Laflamme, and G. J. Milburn, Nature 409, 46 (2001).
[5] F. Verstraete, M. M. Wolf, and J. I. Cirac, Nature Phys. 5, 633 (2009).
[6] M. J. Kastoryano, M. M. Wolf, and J. Eisert, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110, 110501 (2013).
[7] D. Chruściński and A. Kossakowski, Phys. Rev. Lett. 104, 070406 (2010).
[8] J.-S. Xu, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 104, 100502 (2010).
[9] B.-H. Liu, et al., Nat. Phys. 7, 931 (2011).
[10] A. W. Chin, S. F. Huelga, and M. B. Plenio, Phys. Rev. Lett. 109, 233601 (2012).
[11] S. Deffner and E. Lutz, Phys. Rev. Lett. 111, 010402 (2013).
[12] Á. Rivas, S. F. Huelga, and M. B. Plenio, Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 050403 (2010).
[13] H.-P. Breuer, E.-M. Laine, and J. Piilo, Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 210401 (2009).
[14] R. Vasile, S. Maniscalco, M. G. A. Paris, H.-P. Breuer, and J. Piilo, Phys. Rev. A 84, 052118 (2011).
[15] S. Lorenzo, F. Plastina, and M. Paternostro, Phys. Rev. A 88, 020102 (2013).
[16] D. Chruściński and S. Maniscalco, Phys. Rev. Lett. 112, 120404 (2014).
[17] D. Vitali et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 030405 (2007).
[18] T. J. Kippenberg and K. J. Vahala, Science 321, 1172 (2008).
[19] S. Gröblacher, K. Hammerer, M. R. Vanner, and M. Aspelmeyer, Nature (London) 460, 724 (2009).
[20] A. D. O'Connell et al., Nature (London) 464, 697 (2010).
[21] J. D. Thompson et al., Nature 452, 900 (2008).
[22] V. Giovannetti and D. Vitali, Phys. Rev. A 63, 023812 (2001).
[23] C. Genes, D. Vitali, P. Tombesi, S. Gigan, and M. Aspelmeyer, Phys. Rev. A 77, 033804 (2008).
[24] G. S. Agarwal and S. Huang, Phys. Rev. A 81, 041803 (2010).
[25] P. Rabl, Phys. Rev. Lett. 107, 063601 (2011).
[26] M. Bayindir, B. Temelkuran, and E. Ozbay, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 2140 (2000).
[27] M. J. Hartmann, F. G. S. Brandao, and M. Plenio, Nature Phys. 2, 849 (2006).
[28] S. Gröblacher, A. Trubarov, N. Prigge, G. D. Cole, M. Aspelmeyer and J. Eisert, Nature Commun. 6, 7606 (2015).
[29] M. H. Wu, C. U. Lei, W. M. Zhang, and H. N. Xiong, Opt. Express 18, 18407 (2010).
[30] G. W. Ford, J. T. Lewis, and R. F. O'Connell, Phys. Rev. A 37, 4419 (1988).
[31] J. Chan, T. P. M. Alegre, A. H. Safavi-Naeini, J. T. Hill, A. Krause, S. Gröblacher, M. Aspelmeyer, and O. Painter, Nature (London) 478, 89 (2011).
[32] J. D. Teufel et al. Nature (London) 475, 359 (2011).
[33] O. Arcizet, P.-F. Cohadon, T. Briant, M. Pinard, and A. Heidmann, Nature (London) 444, 71 (2006).
[34] M. Aspelmeyer, T. J. Kippenberg, and F. Marquardt, Rev. Mod. Phys. 86, 1391 (2014).
[35] W. M. Zhang, P. Y. Lo, H. N. Xiong, Matisse Wei-Yuan Tu , and F. Nori, Phys. Rev. Lett. 109, 170402 (2012).
[36] A. J. Leggett, S. Chakravarty, A. T. Dorsey, M. P. A. Fisher, A. Garg and W. Zwerger, Rev. Mod. Phys. 59, 1 (1987).
[37] J. Cheng, W.-Z. Zhang, Y. Han, and L. Zhou, Phys. Rev. A 91, 022328 (2015).
[38] Q. J. Tong, J. H. An, H. G. Luo, and C. H. Oh, Phys. Rev. A 81, 052330 (2010).
[39] L. D. Romero and J. P. Paz, Phys. Rev. A 55, 4070 (1997).
[40] A. G. Dijkstra and Y. Tanimura, Phys. Rev. Lett. 104, 250401 (2010).
[41] G. Adesso and F. Illuminati Phys. Rev. A 72, 032334 (2005).
[42] G. Wang, L. Huang, Y.-C. Lai, and C. Grebogi, Phys. Rev. Lett. 112, 110406 (2014).
[43] T. Yu and J. H. Eberly, Science 323, 598 (2009).